



LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

U.S. SUPREME COURT, U.S.

FILED

FEB 23 1967

JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

OCTOBER TERM, 1966

No. ~~975~~ 43

LESTER J. ALBRECHT,
Petitioner,

v.

THE HERALD COMPANY, a Corporation, d/b/a GLOBE-DEMOCRAT
PUBLISHING COMPANY,
Respondent.

**REPLY TO BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION
FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI.**

To the United States Court of Appeals for the
Eighth Circuit.

DONALD S. SIEGEL,
BARTLEY, SIEGEL & BARTLEY,
130 South Berniston Avenue,
Clayton, Missouri 63105,
PA. 7-0922,

GRAY L. DORSEY,
122 Ridge Crest Drive,
Chesterfield, Missouri 63017,
HO. 9-3362,

Attorneys for Petitioner.



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

OCTOBER TERM, 1966.

No.

LESTER J. ALBRECHT,
Petitioner,

v.

THE HERALD COMPANY, a Corporation, d/b/a GLOBE-DEMOCRAT
PUBLISHING COMPANY,
Respondent.

**REPLY TO BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION
FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI.**

To the United States Court of Appeals for the
Eighth Circuit.

Respondents' brief in opposition is not in compliance with Supreme Court Rule 24 in that it is not based on grounds for granting or denying certiorari as indicated in Rule 19. Further, Respondents' Brief contains statements about the pleadings and evidence which Petitioner will show to be inaccurate and misleading if opportunity to argue to the merits is granted. The facts set forth in the Petition for a Writ of Ceriorari are either admitted or uncontroverted, and the Question Presented is squarely

before this Court. We respectfully submits the Petition should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

By

DONALD S. SIEGEL,
BARTLEY, SIEGEL & BARTLEY,
130 South Bemiston Avenue,
Clayton, Missouri 63105,
PA. 7-0922,

GRAY L. DORSEY,
122 Ridge Crest Drive,
Chesterfield, Missouri 63017,
HO. 9-3362,

Attorneys for Petitioner.

Certificate of Service.

State of Missouri, } ss.
County of St. Louis. }

I, Donald S. Siegel, co-counsel for the Petitioner herein, and attorney of record for Petitioner in the Courts below, state that on the 21 day of February, 1967, I served 2 copies of the foregoing Reply to Brief in Opposition to Petition for Writ of Certiorari on the Respondent, as required by Rule 33, Paragraph 1, by personally mailing said copies hereof to Messrs. Hocker, Goodwin & MacGreevy, Attorneys of Record for the Respondents, in care of their office, 411 North Seventh Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.

By

Donald S. Siegel,

Member of the Bar of the United
States Supreme Court.

